

USFS – Pacific Southwest Region

Comments submitted by the OHV Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #11(b) – The narrative states, “See applicable forest plans”, Applicant needs to identify what forest plans support their answer.
- #13 – Narrative does not support response with regard to identifying time frames of when sound testing is being performed. Applicant may want to provide additional information.

Planning – Wet Weather Strategy

G10-02-12-P01

Project Description

- No comment.

Project Cost Estimate

- Equipment Purchase, “Other-Penetrometers, tensiometers, soil” – Applicant may want to provide additional information clarifying equipment’s role/function relative to the project.

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to, “Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands”.
- #4 – Narrative does not support the response with regards to, “...dates of meetings or calls”. Applicant may want to provide additional information.
- #5 – Narrative does not support the response. Applicant may want to provide additional information on how stakeholder input will be substantially incorporated.
- #7 – Narrative does not support the response with regards to how the project will support the development of OHV opportunities adjacent to population centers and in areas that lack legal OHV opportunity. The applicant may want to provide additional information.
- #10. Narrative does not support checked item.

Planning – Sierra Nevada Red Fox Monitoring Study	G10-02-12-P02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘A’ – This is a, “scientific study” and should be a restoration project. Applicant should adjust as necessary. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant needs to refer to the comment in the project description and adjust as necessary. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant needs to refer to the comment in the project description and adjust as necessary. 	

Planning – Enduro Destination Site	G10-02-12-P03
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – Applicant may want to provide more detail for the listed line items 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support checked items. • #3 – Narrative does not support checked items. • #4 – Narrative does not support the response with regards to publicly noticed meeting(s) with the general public to discuss the project and conference call(s) with interested parties”. Additionally the applicant needs to provide dates for all 3 checked boxes. • #6 – Narrative supports only two partners. • #7 – Narrative does not support checked items. • #8 – Need reference document. • #9 – Narrative does not support the response. • #10 – Narrative does not support the response. 	

Education & Safety – User-Oriented Guidemaps	G10-02-12-S01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant needs to identify ‘various’ forest names and the quantity of maps to be produced. 	

Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All Staff – Rates appear excessive, applicant may want to provide a breakdown of staff costs by quantity of hours and rates of pay. • Contracts – Costs appear excessive, applicant may want to breakdown printing costs by quantity of hours and rates of pay. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #6 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to, “...and identify the dates of the meetings or calls”. Applicant may want to provide additional information. • #7 – Narrative does not support the response with regards to research, objectives, and planning. Applicant may want to provide additional information. • #10 – Narrative does not support the response with regards to exhibits, hands on learning, and outreach booths. Applicant may want to provide additional information. 	

Education & Safety – Archaeological Stewardship	G10-02-12-S01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statement of Activity or Product – Applicant needs to provide additional information as to the goal of the project. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – Applicant may want to provide additional information relative to quantity of hours and rates of pay when establishing unit of measure for proposed staff. • Contracts – Applicant may want to consider moving Volunteer Site Stewards and Volunteer coordination currently listed in contracts to Staff. • Contracts – Applicant may want to provide additional information with regards to cost breakdown associated with training workshops. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #6 – Narrative does not support the response. Applicant may want to provide a more detailed descriptor of the publically noticed meetings specific to the project. • #7 – Narrative does not support the response. Applicant may want to provide more detail relative to testing and planning. • #10 – Applicant may want to provide additional information with regards to “Learning tool box” and “Outreach booths”. 	